# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**☐ Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

OR

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 001-32505

# TRANSMONTAIGNE PARTNERS L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

34-2037221

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1670 Broadway Suite 3100 Denver, Colorado 80202

(Address, including zip code, of principal executive offices)

(303) 626-8200

(Telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\boxtimes$  No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer  $\boxtimes$ 

Non-accelerated filer o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No ⊠

As of April 29, 2011, there were 14,457,066 units of the registrant's Common Limited Partner Units outstanding.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1.	<u>Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	3
	Consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	5
	Consolidated statements of partners' equity and comprehensive income for the year ended	
	December 31, 2010 and three months ended March 31, 2011	<u>6</u>
	Consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	7
	Notes to consolidated financial statements	<u>8</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>32</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>41</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>42</u>
	P of Old I to the	
T. 1 A	Part II. Other Information	40
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>43</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>44</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>45</u>
	2	

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including the following:

- certain statements, including possible or assumed future results of operations, in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;"
- any statements contained herein regarding the prospects for our business or any of our services;
- any statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words "may," "seeks," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "continues," "estimates," "plans," "targets," "predicts," "attempts," "is scheduled," or similar expressions; and
- other statements contained herein regarding matters that are not historical facts.

Our business and results of operations are subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our ability to control or predict. Because of these risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements, and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date thereof. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations and may adversely affect our business and results of operations, include, but are not limited to those risk factors set forth in this report in Part II. Other Information under the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

#### **Part I. Financial Information**

#### ITEM 1. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The interim unaudited consolidated financial statements of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are included herein beginning on the following page. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2010, together with our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 10, 2011 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (File No. 001-32505).

TransMontaigne Partners L.P. is a holding company with the following active wholly-owned operating subsidiaries during the three months ended March 31, 2011:

- TransMontaigne Operating GP L.L.C.
- TransMontaigne Operating Company L.P.
- TransMontaigne Terminals L.L.C.
- Razorback L.L.C. (d/b/a Diamondback Pipeline L.L.C.)
- TPSI Terminals L.L.C.
- TMOC Corp.
- TLP Operating Finance Corp.
- TPME L.L.C.
- TLP Mex L.L.C.
- Penn Octane de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.
- Termatsal, S. de R.L. de C.V.
- Tergas, S. de R.L. de C.V.

The above omits non-operating subsidiaries that, considered in the aggregate, do not constitute significant subsidiaries as of March 31, 2011. We do not have off-balance-sheet arrangements (other than operating leases) or special-purpose entities.

# Consolidated balance sheets (unaudited)

# (In thousands)

	N	March 31, 2011		cember 31, 2010
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	3,225	\$	5,353
Trade accounts receivable, net		5,907		5,998
Due from Morgan Stanley Capital Group		4,904		4,150
Other current assets		6,258		7,013
Total current assets		20,294		22,514
Property, plant and equipment, net		465,777		452,402
Goodwill		16,243		16,232
Other assets, net		27,374		23,158
	\$	529,688	\$	514,306
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	_			
Current liabilities:				
Trade accounts payable	\$	6,873	\$	9,931
Due to TransMontaigne Inc.		235		168
Accrued liabilities		17,769		19,642
Total current liabilities		24,877		29,741
Other liabilities		17,846		17,749
Long-term debt		140,000		122,000
Total liabilities		182,723		169,490
Partners' equity:				
Common unitholders		291,111		289,632
General partner interest		56,103		55,533
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(249)		(349)
Total partners' equity		346,965		344,816
	\$	529,688	\$	514,306

# Consolidated statements of operations (unaudited)

# (In thousands, except per unit amounts)

	Three months ended March 31,			
		2011		2010
Revenue:				
External customers	\$	13,384	\$	11,762
Affiliates		25,752		25,392
Total revenue		39,136		37,154
Costs and expenses:				
Direct operating costs and expenses		(14,577)		(14,568)
Direct general and administrative expenses		(1,365)		(1,031)
Allocated general and administrative expenses		(2,616)		(2,578)
Allocated insurance expense		(823)		(796)
Reimbursement of bonus awards		(313)		(313)
Depreciation and amortization		(7,138)		(6,864)
Total costs and expenses		(26,832)		(26,150)
Operating income		12,304		11,004
Other income (expenses):				
Interest income		_		2
Interest expense		(1,199)		(1,277)
Foreign currency transaction gain		28		36
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instrument		686		(141)
Amortization of deferred financing costs		(493)		(150)
Total other expenses		(978)		(1,530)
Net earnings		11,326		9,474
Less—earnings allocable to general partner interest including incentive distribution rights		(1,012)		(765)
Net earnings allocable to limited partners	\$	10,314	\$	8,709
Net earnings per limited partner unit—basic	\$	0.71	\$	0.62
Net earnings per limited partner unit—diluted	\$	0.71	\$	0.62
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding—basic	_	14,441	_	14,111
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding—diluted	_	14,459		14,122
			_	

# Consolidated statements of partners' equity and comprehensive income (unaudited)

# Year ended December 31, 2010 and three months ended March 31, 2011

#### (In thousands)

	Common unitholders	General partner interest	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Total
Balance December 31, 2009	\$ 249,160	\$ 54,434	\$ (469)	\$ 303,125
Proceeds from offering of 2,012,500 common units, net of				
underwriters' discounts and offering expenses of \$2,562	50,971	_	_	50,971
Contribution of cash by TransMontaigne GP to maintain its 2%				
general partner interest	_	1,093	_	1,093
Distributions to unitholders	(34,567)	(3,011)	_	(37,578)
Deferred equity-based compensation related to restricted phantom				
units	385	_	_	385
Purchase of 19,435 common units by our long-term incentive plan				
and from affiliate	(542)	_		(542)
Issuance of 14,000 common units by our long-term incentive plan				
due to vesting of restricted phantom units			_	
Net earnings for year ended December 31, 2010	24,225	3,017		27,242
Foreign currency translation adjustments	_	_	120	120
Comprehensive income				27,362
Balance December 31, 2010	289,632	55,533	(349)	344,816
Distributions to unitholders	(8,836)	(910)	_	(9,746)
Deferred equity-based compensation related to restricted phantom				
units	98	_	_	98
Purchase of 2,520 common units by our long-term incentive plan	(97)	_	_	(97)
Acquisition of Pensacola Terminal from TransMontaigne Inc. in				
exchange for \$12.8 million	_	468	_	468
Issuance of 5,500 common units by our long-term incentive plan due				
to vesting of restricted phantom units			_	
Net earnings for three months ended March 31, 2011	10,314	1,012		11,326
Foreign currency translation adjustments	_	_	100	100
Comprehensive income				11,426
Balance March 31, 2011	\$ 291,111	\$ 56,103	\$ (249)	\$ 346,965

# Consolidated statements of cash flows (unaudited)

# (In thousands)

		nths ended ch 31,
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ 11.220	¢ 0.474
Net earnings	\$ 11,326	\$ 9,474
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:  Depreciation and amortization	7 120	6.064
•	7,138 98	6,864 91
Deferred equity-based compensation	493	150
Amortization of deferred financing costs		
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instrument Amortization of deferred revenue	(686) (1,104)	
Amounts due under long-term terminaling services agreements, net	(108)	(357)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	າາດ	1.053
Trade accounts receivable, net	228	1,052
Due to/from TransMontaigne Inc.	43	(229)
Due from Morgan Stanley Capital Group	496	59 1 494
Other current assets	826 (2,785)	1,484
Trade accounts payable	* * * *	
Accrued liabilities	(1,240)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,725	11,148
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of terminal facilities	(12,781)	_
Additions to investment in land	(1,294)	
Additions to property, plant and equipment—expansion of facilities	(5,712)	(2,917)
Additions to property, plant and equipment—maintain existing facilities	(1,679)	(690)
Other	_	(1)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(21,466)	(3,608)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net proceeds from issuance of common units	_	50,982
Contribution of cash by TransMontaigne GP	_	1,093
Net borrowings (repayments) of debt	18,000	(53,000)
Deferred debt issuance costs	(3,569)	_
Distributions paid to unitholders	(9,746)	(9,270)
Purchase of common units by our long-term incentive plan	(97)	(52)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	4,588	(10,247)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,153)	(2,707)
Foreign currency translation effect on cash	25	10
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,353	6,568
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,225	\$ 3,871
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,413	\$ 1,359
F F	<del></del>	

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

#### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### (a) Nature of business

TransMontaigne Partners L.P. ("Partners") was formed in February 2005 as a Delaware master limited partnership initially to own and operate refined petroleum products terminaling and transportation facilities. We conduct our operations primarily in the United States along the Gulf Coast, in the Southeast, in Brownsville, Texas, along the Mississippi and Ohio rivers, and in the Midwest. We provide integrated terminaling, storage, transportation and related services for companies engaged in the distribution and marketing of refined petroleum products, crude oil, chemicals, fertilizers and other liquid products, including TransMontaigne Inc. and Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc.

We are controlled by our general partner, TransMontaigne GP L.L.C. ("TransMontaigne GP"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of TransMontaigne Inc. Effective September 1, 2006, Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. ("Morgan Stanley Capital Group"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley, purchased all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of TransMontaigne Inc. Morgan Stanley Capital Group is the principal commodities trading arm of Morgan Stanley. As a result of Morgan Stanley's acquisition of TransMontaigne Inc., Morgan Stanley became the indirect owner of our general partner. At March 31, 2011, TransMontaigne Inc. and Morgan Stanley have a significant interest in our partnership through their indirect ownership of a 21.7% limited partner interest, a 2% general partner interest and the incentive distribution rights.

#### (b) Basis of presentation and use of estimates

Our accounting and financial reporting policies conform to accounting principles and practices generally accepted in the United States of America. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of TransMontaigne Partners L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, and its controlled subsidiaries. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. The following estimates, in management's opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment, and involve complex analyses: allowance for doubtful accounts, accrued environmental obligations and determining the fair value of our reporting units when performing our annual goodwill impairment analysis. Changes in these estimates and assumptions will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include allocated general and administrative charges from TransMontaigne Inc. for indirect corporate overhead to cover costs of functions such as legal, accounting, treasury, engineering, environmental safety, information technology, and other corporate services (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). The allocated general and administrative expenses were approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The accompanying consolidated financial statements also include allocated insurance charges from TransMontaigne Inc. for insurance premiums to cover costs of insuring activities such as property, casualty, pollution, automobile, directors' and officers' liability, and other insurable risks. The allocated insurance charges were approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.8 million

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The accompanying consolidated financial statements also include reimbursement of bonus awards paid to TransMontaigne Services Inc. towards bonus awards granted by TransMontaigne Services Inc. to certain key officers and employees that vest over future periods. The reimbursement of bonus awards was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### (c) Accounting for terminal and pipeline operations

In connection with our terminal and pipeline operations, we utilize the accrual method of accounting for revenue and expenses. We generate revenue in our terminal and pipeline operations from terminaling services fees, transportation fees, management fees and cost reimbursements, fees from other ancillary services and gains from the sale of refined products. Terminaling services revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the agreement for storage fees and minimum revenue commitments that are fixed at the inception of the agreement and when product is delivered to the customer for fees based on a rate per barrel throughput; transportation revenue is recognized when the product has been delivered to the customer at the specified delivery location; management fee revenue and cost reimbursements are recognized as the services are performed or as the costs are incurred; ancillary service revenue is recognized as the services are performed; and gains from the sale of refined products are recognized when the title to the product is transferred.

Pursuant to terminaling services agreements with certain of our throughput customers, we are entitled to the volume of product gained resulting from differences in the measurement of product volumes received and distributed at our terminaling facilities. Consistent with recognized industry practices, measurement differentials occur as the result of the inherent variances in measurement devices and methodology. We recognize as revenue the net proceeds from the sale of the product gained. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized revenue of approximately \$4.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, for net product gained. Within these amounts, approximately \$4.4 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, were pursuant to terminaling services agreements with affiliate customers.

#### (d) Cash and cash equivalents

We consider all short-term investments with a remaining maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

#### (e) Property, plant and equipment

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives are 15 to 25 years for plant, which includes buildings, storage tanks, and pipelines, and 3 to 25 years for equipment. All items of property, plant and equipment are carried at cost. Expenditures that increase capacity or extend useful lives are capitalized. Repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

We evaluate long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset group may not be recoverable based on expected undiscounted future cash flows attributable to that asset group. If an asset group is impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is the excess of the carrying amount of the asset group over its estimated fair value.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### (f) Environmental obligations

We accrue for environmental costs that relate to existing conditions caused by past operations when estimable (see Note 9 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). Environmental costs include initial site surveys and environmental studies of potentially contaminated sites, costs for remediation and restoration of sites determined to be contaminated and ongoing monitoring costs, as well as fines, damages and other costs, including direct legal costs. Liabilities for environmental costs at a specific site are initially recorded, on an undiscounted basis, when it is probable that we will be liable for such costs, and a reasonable estimate of the associated costs can be made based on available information. Such an estimate includes our share of the liability for each specific site and the sharing of the amounts related to each site that will not be paid by other potentially responsible parties, based on enacted laws and adopted regulations and policies. Adjustments to initial estimates are recorded, from time to time, to reflect changing circumstances and estimates based upon additional information developed in subsequent periods. Estimates of our ultimate liabilities associated with environmental costs are difficult to make with certainty due to the number of variables involved, including the early stage of investigation at certain sites, the lengthy time frames required to complete remediation, technology changes, alternatives available and the evolving nature of environmental laws and regulations. We periodically file claims for insurance recoveries of certain environmental remediation costs with our insurance carriers under our comprehensive liability policies (see Note 5 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). We recognize our insurance recoveries as a credit to income in the period that we assess the likelihood of recovery as being probable (i.e., likely to occur).

TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to indemnify us against certain potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that were identified on or before May 27, 2010 and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Florida and Midwest terminal facilities prior to May 27, 2005, up to a maximum liability not to exceed \$15.0 million for this indemnification obligation (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). TransMontaigne Inc. has agreed to indemnify us against certain potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that are identified on or before December 31, 2011 and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Brownsville and River terminals prior to December 31, 2006, up to a maximum liability not to exceed \$15.0 million for this indemnification obligation (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). TransMontaigne Inc. has agreed to indemnify us against certain potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that are identified on or before December 31, 2012 and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Southeast terminals prior to December 31, 2007, up to a maximum liability not to exceed \$15.0 million for this indemnification obligation (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). TransMontaigne Inc. has agreed to indemnify us against certain potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that are identified on or before March 1, 2016 and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Pensacola terminal prior to March 1, 2011, up to a maximum liability not to exceed \$2.5 million for this indemnification obligation (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

#### (g) Asset retirement obligations

Asset retirement obligations are legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development or normal use of the asset. Generally accepted accounting principles require that the fair value of a liability related to the retirement of long-lived assets be recorded at the time a legal obligation is incurred. Once an asset retirement

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

obligation is identified and a liability is recorded, a corresponding asset is recorded, which is depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. After the initial measurement, the liability is adjusted to reflect changes in the asset retirement obligation's fair value. If and when it is determined that a legal obligation has been incurred, the fair value of any liability is determined based on estimates and assumptions related to retirement costs, future inflation rates and interest rates. Our long-lived assets consist of above-ground storage facilities and underground pipelines. We are unable to predict if and when these long-lived assets will become completely obsolete and require dismantlement. Accordingly, we have not recorded an asset retirement obligation, or corresponding asset, because the future dismantlement and removal dates of our long-lived assets, and the amount of any associated costs, are indeterminable. Changes in our assumptions and estimates may occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events.

#### (h) Equity-based compensation plan

Generally accepted accounting principles require us to measure the cost of services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost will be recognized over the period during which a board member or employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. We are required to estimate the number of equity instruments that are expected to vest in measuring the total compensation cost to be recognized over the related service period. Compensation cost is recognized over the service period on a straight-line basis.

#### (i) Foreign currency translation and transactions

The functional currency of Partners and its U.S.-based subsidiaries is the U.S. Dollar. The functional currency of our foreign subsidiaries, including Penn Octane de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V., Termatsal, S. de R.L. de C.V., and Tergas, S. de R.L. de C.V., is the Mexican Peso. The assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries are translated at period-end rates of exchange, and revenue and expenses are translated at average exchange rates prevailing for the period. The resulting translation adjustments, net of related income taxes, are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income in partners' equity. Gains and losses from the remeasurement of foreign currency transactions (transactions denominated in a currency other than the entity's functional currency) are included in the consolidated statements of operations in other income (expenses).

#### (j) Accounting for derivative instruments

Generally accepted accounting principles require us to recognize all derivative instruments at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities (see Note 9 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). Changes in the fair value of our derivative instruments are recognized in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our derivative instruments were limited to an interest rate swap. We have not designated this interest rate swap as a hedge and therefore the change in the fair value of our interest rate swap is included in the consolidated statements of operations in other income (expenses). The fair value of our interest rate swap is determined using a pricing model based on the LIBOR swap rate and other observable market data. The fair value was determined after considering the potential impact of collateralization, adjusted to reflect nonperformance risk of both

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Wells Fargo Bank N.A., the counterparty, and us. Our fair value measurement of our interest rate swap utilizes Level 2 inputs as defined by generally accepted accounting principles.

#### (k) Income taxes

No provision for U.S. federal income taxes has been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements because Partners is treated as a partnership for federal income taxes. As a partnership, all income, gains, losses, expenses, deductions and tax credits generated by Partners flow through to the unitholders of the partnership.

Partners is a taxable entity under certain U.S. state jurisdictions, primarily Texas. Certain of our Mexican subsidiaries are corporations for Mexican tax purposes and, therefore, are subject to Mexican federal and provincial income taxes.

Partners accounts for U.S. state income taxes and Mexican federal and provincial income taxes under the asset and liability method pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles. Currently, Mexican federal and provincial income taxes and U.S. state income taxes are not significant.

#### (l) Net earnings per limited partner unit

Generally accepted accounting principles addresses the computation of earnings per limited partnership unit for master limited partnerships that consist of publicly traded common units held by limited partners, a general partner interest, and incentive distribution rights that are accounted for as equity interests. Partners' incentive distribution rights are owned by our general partner. Distributions are declared from available cash (as defined by our partnership agreement) and the incentive distribution rights are not entitled to distributions other than from available cash. Any excess of distributions over earnings are allocated to the limited partners and general partner interest based on their respective sharing of losses specified in the partnership agreement, which is based on their ownership percentages of 98% and 2%, respectively. Incentive distribution rights do not share in losses under our partnership agreement. The earnings allocable to the general partner interest for the period represents distributions attributable to the period on behalf of the general partner interest and any incentive distribution rights less the excess of distributions over earnings allocated to the limited partners (see Note 15 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). Basic earnings per limited partner unit are computed by dividing net earnings allocable to limited partners by the weighted average number of limited partnership units outstanding during the period, excluding restricted phantom units. Diluted earnings per limited partners unit are computed by dividing net earnings allocable to limited partners by the weighted average number of limited partnership units outstanding during the period and, when dilutive, restricted phantom units. Net earnings allocable to limited partners are net of the earnings allocable to the general partner interest including incentive distribution rights.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (2) TRANSACTIONS WITH TRANSMONTAIGNE INC. AND MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP

Omnibus Agreement. We have an omnibus agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. that will expire in December 2014, unless extended. Under the omnibus agreement we pay TransMontaigne Inc. an administrative fee for the provision of various general and administrative services for our benefit. Effective January 1, 2011, the annual administrative fee payable to TransMontaigne Inc. is approximately \$10.5 million. If we acquire or construct additional facilities, TransMontaigne Inc. will propose a revised administrative fee covering the provision of services for such additional facilities. If the conflicts committee of our general partner agrees to the revised administrative fee, TransMontaigne Inc. will provide services for the additional facilities pursuant to the agreement. The administrative fee includes expenses incurred by TransMontaigne Inc. to perform centralized corporate functions, such as legal, accounting, treasury, insurance administration and claims processing, health, safety and environmental, information technology, human resources, credit, payroll, taxes and engineering and other corporate services, to the extent such services are not outsourced by TransMontaigne Inc.

The omnibus agreement further provides that we pay TransMontaigne Inc. an insurance reimbursement for premiums on insurance policies covering our facilities and operations. Effective January 1, 2011, the annual insurance reimbursement payable to TransMontaigne Inc. is approximately \$3.3 million. We also reimburse TransMontaigne Inc. for direct operating costs and expenses that TransMontaigne Inc. incurs on our behalf, such as salaries of operational personnel performing services on-site at our terminals and pipelines and the cost of their employee benefits, including 401(k) and health insurance benefits.

We also agreed to reimburse TransMontaigne Inc. and its affiliates for a portion of the incentive payment grants to key employees of TransMontaigne Inc. and its affiliates under the TransMontaigne Services Inc. savings and retention plan, provided the compensation committee of our general partner determines that an adequate portion of the incentive payment grants are allocated to an investment fund indexed to the performance of our common units. For the year ending December 31, 2011, we have agreed to reimburse TransMontaigne Inc. and its affiliates approximately \$1.3 million.

The omnibus agreement provided us with a right of first offer to purchase all of TransMontaigne Inc.'s and its subsidiaries' right, title and interest in the Pensacola, Florida refined petroleum products terminal and any assets acquired in an asset exchange transaction that replace the Pensacola assets. We exercised this right effective as of March 1, 2011 and purchased the Pensacola terminal for cash consideration of approximately \$12.8 million (see Note 3 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

The omnibus agreement also provides TransMontaigne Inc. a right of first refusal to purchase our assets, provided that TransMontaigne Inc. agrees to pay no less than 105% of the purchase price offered by the third party bidder. Before we enter into any contract to sell such terminal or pipeline facilities, we must give written notice of all material terms of such proposed sale to TransMontaigne Inc. TransMontaigne Inc. will then have the sole and exclusive option, for a period of 45 days following receipt of the notice, to purchase the subject facilities for no less than 105% of the purchase price on the terms specified in the notice.

TransMontaigne Inc. also has a right of first refusal to contract for the use of any petroleum product storage capacity that (i) is put into commercial service after January 1, 2008, or (ii) was subject to a terminaling services agreement that expires or is terminated (excluding a contract renewable solely

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (2) TRANSACTIONS WITH TRANSMONTAIGNE INC. AND MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP (Continued)

at the option of our customer), provided that TransMontaigne Inc. agrees to pay 105% of the fees offered by the third party customer.

Environmental Indemnification. In connection with our acquisition of the Florida and Midwest terminals, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to indemnify us against certain potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that were identified on or before May 27, 2010, and that were associated with the ownership or operation of the Florida and Midwest terminals prior to May 27, 2005. TransMontaigne Inc.'s maximum liability for this indemnification obligation is \$15.0 million. TransMontaigne Inc. has no obligation to indemnify us for losses until such aggregate losses exceeded \$250,000. The deductible amount, cap amount and limitation of time for indemnification do not apply to any environmental liabilities known to exist as of May 27, 2005. TransMontaigne Inc. has no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental claims made as a result of additions to or modifications of environmental laws promulgated after May 27, 2005.

In connection with our acquisition of the Brownsville, Texas and River terminals, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to indemnify us against potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that are identified on or before December 31, 2011, and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Brownsville and River facilities prior to December 31, 2006. Our environmental losses must first exceed \$250,000 and TransMontaigne Inc.'s indemnification obligations are capped at \$15.0 million. The deductible amount, cap amount and limitation of time for indemnification do not apply to any environmental liabilities known to exist as of December 31, 2006. TransMontaigne Inc. has no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental claims made as a result of additions to or modifications of environmental laws promulgated after December 31, 2006.

In connection with our acquisition of the Southeast terminals, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to indemnify us against potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that are identified on or before December 31, 2012, and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Southeast terminals prior to December 31, 2007. Our environmental losses must first exceed \$250,000 and TransMontaigne Inc.'s indemnification obligations are capped at \$15.0 million. The deductible amount, cap amount and limitation of time for indemnification do not apply to any environmental liabilities known to exist as of December 31, 2007. TransMontaigne Inc. has no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental claims made as a result of additions to or modifications of environmental laws promulgated after December 31, 2007.

In connection with our acquisition of the Pensacola terminal, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to indemnify us against potential environmental claims, losses and expenses that are identified on or before March 1, 2016, and that are associated with the ownership or operation of the Pensacola terminal prior to March 1, 2011. Our environmental losses must first exceed \$200,000 and TransMontaigne Inc.'s indemnification obligations are capped at \$2.5 million. The deductible amount, cap amount and limitation of time for indemnification do not apply to any environmental liabilities known to exist as of March 1, 2011. TransMontaigne Inc. has no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental claims made as a result of additions to or modifications of environmental laws promulgated after March 1, 2011.

*Terminaling Services Agreement—Florida Terminals and Razorback Pipeline System.* We have a terminaling services agreement with Morgan Stanley Capital Group relating to our Florida, Mt. Vernon,

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (2) TRANSACTIONS WITH TRANSMONTAIGNE INC. AND MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP (Continued)

Missouri and Rogers, Arkansas terminals. Effective June 1, 2008, we amended the terminaling services agreement to include renewable fuels blending functionality at the Florida Terminals. The initial term expires on May 31, 2014 for the Florida terminals and on May 31, 2012 for the Razorback pipeline system. After the initial term, the terminaling services agreement will automatically renew for subsequent one-year periods, subject to either party's right to terminate with six months' notice prior to the end of the initial term or the then current renewal term. Under this agreement, Morgan Stanley Capital Group agreed to throughput a volume of refined product that will, at the fee and tariff schedule contained in the agreement, result in minimum throughput payments to us of approximately \$36.6 million for the contract year ending May 31, 2011 (approximately \$37.0 million for the contract year ending May 31, 2012); with stipulated annual increases in throughput payments each contract year thereafter. Morgan Stanley Capital Group's minimum annual throughput payment is reduced proportionately for any decrease in storage capacity due to out-of-service tank capacity.

If a force majeure event occurs that renders performance impossible with respect to an asset for at least 30 consecutive days, Morgan Stanley Capital Group's obligations would be temporarily suspended with respect to that asset. If a force majeure event continues for 30 consecutive days or more and results in a diminution in the storage capacity we make available to Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Morgan Stanley Capital Group's minimum revenue commitment would be reduced proportionately for the duration of the force majeure event.

Morgan Stanley Capital Group may not assign the terminaling services agreement without our consent. Upon termination of the agreement, Morgan Stanley Capital Group has a right of first refusal to enter into a new terminaling services agreement with us, provided they pay no less than 105% of the fees offered by any third party.

Terminaling Services Agreement—Fisher Island Terminal. We have a terminaling services agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. that will expire on December 31, 2011. Under this agreement, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to throughput at our Fisher Island terminal in the Gulf Coast region a volume of fuel oils that will, at the fee schedule contained in the agreement, result in minimum revenue to us of approximately \$1.8 million for the contract year ending December 31, 2011. In exchange for its minimum throughput commitment, we agreed to provide TransMontaigne Inc. with approximately 185,000 barrels of fuel oil capacity.

Revenue Support Agreement—Oklahoma City Terminal. We have a revenue support agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. that provides that in the event any current third-party terminaling agreement should expire, TransMontaigne Inc. agrees to enter into a terminaling services agreement that will expire no earlier than November 1, 2012. The terminaling services agreement will provide that TransMontaigne Inc. agrees to throughput such volume of refined product as may be required to guarantee minimum revenue of approximately \$0.8 million per year. If TransMontaigne Inc. fails to meet its minimum revenue commitment in any year, it must pay us the amount of any shortfall within 15 business days following receipt of an invoice from us. In exchange for TransMontaigne Inc.'s minimum revenue commitment, we will agree to provide TransMontaigne Inc. approximately 153,000 barrels of light oil storage capacity at our Oklahoma City terminal. TransMontaigne Inc.'s minimum revenue commitment currently is not in effect because a major oil company is under contract through March 31, 2012 for the utilization of the light oil storage capacity at the terminal.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (2) TRANSACTIONS WITH TRANSMONTAIGNE INC. AND MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP (Continued)

Terminaling Services Agreement—Mobile Terminal. We had a terminaling services agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. that terminated on December 17, 2010 with the sale of the Mobile terminal (see Note 3 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). As consideration for the early termination of the terminaling services agreement and release of TransMontaigne Inc. from its obligations thereunder, we received an early termination payment of approximately \$1.3 million. Under this agreement, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to throughput at our Mobile terminal a volume of refined products that, at the fee schedule contained in the agreement, resulted in minimum revenue to us of approximately \$2.5 million for the contract year ending December 31, 2010.

Terminaling Services Agreement—Brownsville Terminals. We had a terminaling services agreement with Morgan Stanley Capital Group, relating to our Brownsville, Texas terminal complex that was terminated effective May 1, 2010. The storage capacity under this agreement is now under contract with third parties. Under this agreement, Morgan Stanley Capital Group agreed to store a specified minimum amount of fuel oils at our terminals and paid us approximately \$0.4 million in 2010.

Terminaling Services Agreement—Brownsville LPG. We have a terminaling services agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. relating to our Brownsville, Texas facilities that expired on March 31, 2011 and is continuing on a month to month basis, subject to either party's right to terminate with thirty days' prior notice. Under this agreement, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to throughput at our Brownsville facilities certain minimum volumes of natural gas liquids that will result in minimum revenue to us of approximately \$1.3 million per year. In exchange for TransMontaigne Inc.'s minimum throughput commitment, we agreed to provide TransMontaigne Inc. approximately 33,000 barrels of storage capacity at our Brownsville facilities.

Terminaling Services Agreement—Matamoros LPG. We have a terminaling services agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. relating to our natural gas liquids storage facility in Matamoros, Mexico that expired on March 31, 2011 and is continuing on a month to month basis, subject to either party's right to terminate with thirty days' prior notice. In the event that the Brownsville LPG agreement between us and TransMontaigne Inc. terminates, this terminaling services agreement will also terminate. Under this agreement, TransMontaigne Inc. agreed to throughput a volume of natural gas liquids that will, at the fee schedule contained in the agreement, result in minimum throughput payments to us of approximately \$0.6 million per year. In exchange for TransMontaigne Inc.'s minimum throughput payments, we agreed to provide TransMontaigne Inc. approximately 7,000 barrels of natural gas liquids storage capacity.

Terminaling Services Agreement—Brownsville and River Terminals. We had a terminaling services agreement with TransMontaigne Inc. relating to certain renewable fuels capacity at our Brownsville and River terminals that terminated on December 31, 2010. Under this agreement, TransMontaigne Inc. had agreed to throughput at these terminals certain minimum volumes of renewable fuels that, at the fee schedule contained in the agreement, resulted in minimum revenue to us of approximately \$0.6 million per year. In exchange for TransMontaigne Inc.'s minimum throughput commitment, we had agreed to provide TransMontaigne Inc. approximately 116,000 barrels of storage capacity at these terminals.

*Terminaling Services Agreement—Southeast Terminals.* We have a terminaling services agreement with Morgan Stanley Capital Group relating to our Southeast terminals. The terminaling services

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (2) TRANSACTIONS WITH TRANSMONTAIGNE INC. AND MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP (Continued)

agreement commenced on January 1, 2008 and has a seven-year term expiring on December 31, 2014, subject to a seven-year renewal option at the election of Morgan Stanley Capital Group. Under this agreement, Morgan Stanley Capital Group agreed to throughput a volume of refined product at our Southeast terminals that will, at the fee schedule contained in the agreement, result in minimum throughput payments to us of approximately \$34.7 million for the contract year ending December 31, 2011; with stipulated annual increases in throughput payments each contract year thereafter. Morgan Stanley Capital Group's minimum annual throughput payment is reduced proportionately for any decrease in storage capacity due to out-of-service tank capacity. In exchange for its minimum throughput commitment, we agreed to provide Morgan Stanley Capital Group approximately 8.9 million barrels of light oil storage capacity at our Southeast terminals. Under this agreement we also agreed to undertake certain capital projects to provide ethanol blending functionality at certain of our Southeast terminals with estimated completion dates that extend through August 31, 2011. Upon completion of each of the projects, Morgan Stanley Capital Group has agreed to pay us an ethanol blending fee. Through March 31, 2011, we had received payments totaling approximately \$21.2 million and we expect to receive future payments through October 31, 2011 from Morgan Stanley Capital Group of approximately \$1.9 million.

If a force majeure event occurs that renders performance impossible with respect to an asset for at least 30 consecutive days, Morgan Stanley Capital Group's obligations would be temporarily suspended with respect to that asset. If a force majeure event continues for 30 consecutive days or more and results in a diminution in the storage capacity we make available to Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Morgan Stanley Capital Group's minimum revenue commitment would be reduced proportionately for the duration of the force majeure event.

Morgan Stanley Capital Group may not assign the terminaling services agreement without our consent.

Terminaling Services Agreement—Collins/Purvis Terminal. In January 2010, we entered into a terminaling services agreement with Morgan Stanley Capital Group relating to our Collins, Mississippi facility that will expire seven years following the in-service date of certain tank capacity and other improvements to be constructed by us, subject to one-year automatic renewals unless terminated by either party upon 180 days notice prior to the end of the then-current renewal term. Under this agreement, Morgan Stanley Capital Group agreed to throughput a volume of light oil products at our terminal that will, at the fee schedule contained in the agreement, result in minimum throughput payments to us of approximately \$4.1 million for the one-year period following the in-service date and for each contract year thereafter. In exchange for its minimum revenue commitment, we agreed to undertake certain capital projects to provide an additional 700,000 barrels of light oil capacity and other improvements at the Collins terminal, with estimated completion to occur on or before August 1, 2011.

If a force majeure event occurs that renders performance impossible with respect to an asset for at least 30 consecutive days, Morgan Stanley Capital Group's obligations would be temporarily suspended with respect to that asset. If a force majeure event continues for 30 consecutive days or more and results in a diminution in the storage capacity we make available to Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Morgan Stanley Capital Group's minimum revenue commitment would be reduced proportionately for the duration of the force majeure event.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (2) TRANSACTIONS WITH TRANSMONTAIGNE INC. AND MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP (Continued)

Neither party may transfer or assign this agreement without the consent of the other party unless such assignment is to an affiliate or, in the case of Partners, a successor in interest to us or to the Collins terminal.

#### (3) TERMINAL ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

Acquisition of Pensacola Terminal. Effective as of March 1, 2011, we acquired from TransMontaigne Inc. its Pensacola, Florida refined petroleum products terminal with approximately 270,000 barrels of aggregate active storage capacity for a cash payment of approximately \$12.8 million. The Pensacola terminal provides integrated terminaling services principally to a third party customer. The acquisition of the Pensacola terminal from TransMontaigne Inc. has been recorded at carryover basis in a manner similar to a reorganization of entities under common control. As TransMontaigne Inc. controls our general partner, the difference between the consideration we paid to TransMontaigne Inc. and the carryover basis of the net assets purchased has been reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and changes in partners' equity as an increase to the general partner's equity interest. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the Pensacola Terminal from March 1, 2011.

The carryover basis in the assets and liabilities of the Pensacola terminal as of March 1, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

	Pensacola Terminal
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1
Other current assets	61
Property, plant and equipment	13,232
Accrued liabilities	(45)

Acquisition of Collins and Bainbridge Terminals. On April 27, 2010, we purchased from BP Products North America Inc. ("BP"), two refined product terminals with approximately 60,000 barrels and 110,000 barrels of aggregate active storage capacity in Collins, Mississippi and Bainbridge, Georgia, respectively, for cash consideration of approximately \$1.6 million. We previously managed and operated these two refined product terminals that are adjacent to our Collins and Bainbridge terminals and received a reimbursement of their proportionate share of operating and maintenance costs. These two refined product terminals currently provide integrated terminaling services to Morgan Stanley Capital Group. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and results of operations of these assets from April 27, 2010.

Disposition of Mobile Terminal. On December 17, 2010, we sold our Mobile terminal with approximately 163,000 barrels of aggregate active storage capacity to an unaffiliated third party for cash proceeds of approximately \$3.9 million. The accompanying consolidated financial statements exclude the assets, liabilities and results of operations of these assets subsequent to December 17, 2010.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (4) CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK AND TRADE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Our primary market areas are located in the United States along the Gulf Coast, in the Southeast, in Brownsville, Texas, along the Mississippi and Ohio Rivers, and in the Midwest. We have a concentration of trade receivable balances due from companies engaged in the trading, distribution and marketing of refined products and crude oil and the United States government. These concentrations of customers may affect our overall credit risk in that the customers may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other factors. Our customers' historical financial and operating information is analyzed prior to extending credit. We manage our exposure to credit risk through credit analysis, credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures, and for certain transactions we may request letters of credit, prepayments or guarantees. We maintain allowances for potentially uncollectible accounts receivable.

Trade accounts receivable, net consists of the following (in thousands):

	M	arch 31, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010
Trade accounts receivable	\$	6,213	\$	6,308
Less allowance for doubtful accounts		(306)		(310)
	\$	5,907	\$	5,998

The following customer accounted for at least 10% of our consolidated revenue in at least one of the periods presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations:

	Three mo	onths
	ende	ı
	March	31,
	2011	2010
Morgan Stanley Capital Group	62%	61%

### (5) OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Other current assets are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Amounts due from insurance companies	\$	3,720	\$	4,102
Additive detergent		1,860		1,754
Deposits and other assets		678		1,157
	\$	6,258	\$	7,013

Amounts due from insurance companies. We periodically file claims for recovery of environmental remediation costs with our insurance carriers under our comprehensive liability policies. We recognize our insurance recoveries in the period that we assess the likelihood of recovery as being probable (i.e., likely to occur). At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have recognized amounts due from insurance companies of approximately \$3.7 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, representing our best estimate of our probable insurance recoveries. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we received reimbursements from insurance companies of approximately \$0.4 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we did not change our estimate of future insurance recoveries.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (6) PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property, plant and equipment, net is as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		De	ecember 31, 2010
Land	\$	52,662	\$	50,527
Terminals, pipelines and equipment		537,334		517,098
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		1,462		1,353
Construction in progress		16,001		16,391
		607,459		585,369
Less accumulated depreciation		(141,682)		(132,967)
	\$	465,777	\$	452,402

#### (7) GOODWILL

Goodwill is as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
Brownsville terminals (includes approximately \$29 and \$40,			
respectively, of foreign currency translation adjustments)	\$ 16,243	\$ 16,232	

The acquisition of the Brownsville terminals from TransMontaigne Inc. has been recorded at TransMontaigne Inc.'s carryover basis in a manner similar to a reorganization of entities under common control. TransMontaigne Inc.'s carryover basis in the Brownsville terminals is derived from the application of pushdown accounting associated with Morgan Stanley Capital Group's acquisition of TransMontaigne Inc. on September 1, 2006. Goodwill represents the excess of Morgan Stanley Capital Group's aggregate purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired attributable to the Brownsville terminals.

Included in the Brownsville terminals' operating segment are the results of the Mexican LPG operations. The adjusted purchase price for the acquisition of the Mexican LPG operations from Rio Vista Energy Partners L.P. was allocated to the identifiable assets and liabilities acquired based upon the estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities as of the acquisition date. Goodwill of approximately \$1.5 million was recorded and represents the excess of our adjusted purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired attributable to the Mexican LPG operations.

Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment annually unless events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that an impairment loss has been incurred at an interim date. Our annual test for the impairment of goodwill is performed as of December 31. The impairment test is performed at the reporting unit level. Our reporting units are our operating segments (see Note 17 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not considered to be impaired. Management exercises judgment in determining the estimated fair values of Partners' reporting units.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (8) OTHER ASSETS, NET

Other assets, net are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		D	ecember 31, 2010
Amounts due under long-term terminaling services agreements:				
External customers	\$	649	\$	749
Morgan Stanley Capital Group		4,049		4,028
		4,698	_	4,777
Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$62				
and \$3,032, respectively		3,674		598
Customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization of				
\$1,413 and \$1,336, respectively		2,286		2,363
Investment in land		16,428		15,134
Deposits and other assets		288		286
	\$	27,374	\$	23,158

Amounts due under long-term terminaling services agreements. We have long-term terminaling services agreements with certain of our customers that provide for minimum payments that increase over the terms of the respective agreements. We recognize as revenue the minimum payments under the long-term terminaling services agreements on a straight-line basis over the term of the respective agreements. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have recognized revenue in excess of the minimum payments that are due through those respective dates under the long-term terminaling services agreements resulting in an asset of approximately \$4.7 million and \$4.8 million, respectively.

*Deferred financing costs.* Deferred financing costs are amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the related credit facility (see Note 11 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

*Customer relationships.* Our acquisitions from TransMontaigne Inc. have been recorded at TransMontaigne Inc.'s carryover basis in a manner similar to a reorganization of entities under common control. Other assets, net include the carryover basis of certain customer relationships at our Brownsville and River terminals. The carryover basis of the customer relationships is being amortized on a straight-line basis over twelve years.

*Investment in land.* On November 18, 2010, we acquired approximately 190 acres of undeveloped land on the Houston Ship Channel. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our total costs incurred to acquire and prepare the land for its future development approximate \$16.4 million and \$15.1 million, respectively.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (9) ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	M	arch 31, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
Customer advances and deposits:				
External customers	\$	962	\$	939
Morgan Stanley Capital Group		5,940		5,736
		6,902		6,675
Accrued property taxes		1,280	30 5	
Accrued environmental obligations		4,559		5,085
Interest payable		279		204
Rebate due to Morgan Stanley Capital Group		1,409		3,011
Unrealized loss on derivative instrument		564 1		1,250
Accrued expenses and other		2,776	776 2,88	
	\$	17,769	\$	19,642

*Customer advances and deposits.* We bill certain of our customers one month in advance for terminaling services to be provided in the following month. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have billed and collected from certain of our customers approximately \$6.9 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, in advance of the terminaling services being provided.

Accrued environmental obligations. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have accrued environmental obligations of approximately \$4.6 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, representing our best estimate of our remediation obligations. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we made payments of approximately \$0.5 million towards our environmental remediation obligations. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we did not change our estimate of our future environmental remediation costs. Changes in our estimates of our future environmental remediation obligations may occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events.

Rebate due to Morgan Stanley Capital Group. Pursuant to our terminaling services agreement related to the Southeast terminals, we agreed to rebate to Morgan Stanley Capital Group 50% of the proceeds we receive annually in excess of \$4.2 million from the sale of product gains at our Southeast terminals. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have accrued a liability due to Morgan Stanley Capital Group of approximately \$1.4 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we paid Morgan Stanley Capital Group approximately \$3.0 million for the rebate due to Morgan Stanley Capital Group for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Unrealized loss on derivative instrument. Our derivative instrument is limited to an interest rate swap. We manage a portion of our interest rate risk with an interest rate swap, which reduces our cash exposure to changes in interest rates by converting variable interest rates to fixed interest rates. At March 31, 2011, we have an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$150.0 million that expires June 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed rate of approximately 2.2% and receive an interest payment based on the one-month LIBOR. The net difference to be paid or received under the interest rate swap agreement is settled monthly and is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (9) ACCRUED LIABILITIES (Continued)

2010, we recognized net payments to the counterparty in the amount of approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, as an adjustment to interest expense. Our obligations under the interest rate swap agreement are secured by a first priority security interest in our assets, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory, general intangibles, investment property, contract rights and real property (see Note 11 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of the interest rate swap was approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. The change in fair value of approximately \$0.7 million has been reflected as an unrealized gain on derivative instrument in our accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

#### (10) OTHER LIABILITIES

Other liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	M	Iarch 31, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
Advance payments received under long-term terminaling services				
agreements:				
External customers	\$	1,121	\$	1,139
Morgan Stanley Capital Group		263		432
		1,384		1,571
Deferred revenue—ethanol blending fees and other projects		16,462		16,178
	\$	17,846	\$	17,749

Advance payments received under long-term terminaling services agreements. We have long-term terminaling services agreements with certain of our customers that provide for advance minimum payments. We recognize the advance minimum payments as revenue either on a straight-line basis over the term of the respective agreements or when services have been provided based on volumes of product distributed. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have received advance minimum payments in excess of revenue recognized under these long-term terminaling services agreements resulting in a liability of approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Deferred revenue-ethanol blending fees and other projects. Pursuant to agreements with Morgan Stanley Capital Group, we agreed to undertake certain capital projects to provide ethanol blending functionality at certain of our Southeast terminals and other projects. Upon completion of the projects, Morgan Stanley Capital Group has agreed to pay us amounts that will be recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the agreements. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have unamortized deferred revenue of approximately \$16.5 million and \$16.2 million, respectively, for completed projects. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we billed Morgan Stanley Capital Group approximately \$1.4 million for completed projects. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized revenue on a straight-line basis of approximately \$1.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, for completed projects.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (11) LONG-TERM DEBT

Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Facility. On March 9, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated senior secured credit facility (the "Amended Facility"). The Amended Facility replaced in its entirety the senior secured credit facility that was in place as of December 31, 2010. The Amended Facility provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$250 million and (ii) 4.75 times Consolidated EBITDA (as defined: \$333.9 million at March 31, 2011). In addition, at our request, the revolving loan commitment can be increased up to an additional \$100 million, in the aggregate, without the approval of the lenders, but subject to the approval of the administrative agent and the receipt of additional commitments from one or more lenders. We may elect to have loans under the Amended Facility bear interest either (i) at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 2% to 3% depending on the total leverage ratio then in effect, or (ii) at the base rate plus a margin ranging from 1% to 2% depending on the total leverage ratio then in effect. We also pay a commitment fee on the unused amount of commitments, ranging from 0.375% to 0.5% per annum, depending on the total leverage ratio then in effect. Our obligations under the Amended Facility are secured by a first priority security interest in favor of the lenders in the majority of our assets.

The terms of the Amended Facility include covenants that restrict our ability to make cash distributions, acquisitions and investments, including investments in joint ventures. We may make distributions of cash to the extent of our "available cash" as defined in our partnership agreement. We may make acquisitions and investments that meet the definition of "permitted acquisitions"; "other investments" which may not exceed 5% of "consolidated net tangible assets"; and "permitted JV investments" which may not exceed \$125 million in the aggregate and subject to us having at least \$50 million in "liquidity" before and after giving effect to such joint venture investment. The principal balance of loans and any accrued and unpaid interest are due and payable in full on the maturity date, March 9, 2016.

The Amended Facility also contains customary representations and warranties (including those relating to organization and authorization, compliance with laws, absence of defaults, material agreements and litigation) and customary events of default (including those relating to monetary defaults, covenant defaults, cross defaults and bankruptcy events). The primary financial covenants contained in the Amended Facility are (i) a total leverage ratio test (not to exceed 4.75 times), (ii) a senior secured leverage ratio test (not to exceed 3.75 times) in the event we issue senior unsecured notes, and (iii) a minimum interest coverage ratio test (not less than 3.0 times). We were in compliance with all of the covenants under the Amended Facility as of March 31, 2011.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the above applicable credit facility was approximately 4.5% and 4.0%, respectively. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our outstanding borrowings under the above applicable credit facility were approximately \$140 million and \$122 million, respectively. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our outstanding letters of credit were approximately \$nil at both dates.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (12) PARTNERS' EQUITY

The number of units outstanding is as follows:

	Common units	General partner units
Units outstanding at December 31, 2009	12,444,566	253,971
Public offering of common units	2,012,500	_
TransMontaigne GP to maintain its 2% general partner interest	_	41,071
Units outstanding at December 31, 2010 and March 31,		
2011	14,457,066	295,042

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, common units outstanding include approximately 11,700 and 14,600 common units, respectively, held on behalf of TransMontaigne Services Inc.'s long-term incentive plan.

On January 15, 2010, we issued, pursuant to an underwritten public offering, 1,750,000 common units representing limited partner interests at a public offering price of \$26.60 per common unit. On January 15, 2010, the underwriters of our secondary offering exercised in full their over-allotment option to purchase an additional 262,500 common units representing limited partnership interests at a price of \$26.60 per common unit. The net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$51.0 million, after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions, and offering expenses of approximately \$0.3 million. Additionally, TransMontaigne GP, our general partner, made a cash contribution of approximately \$1.1 million to us to maintain its 2% general partner interest.

#### (13) LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

TransMontaigne GP is our general partner and manages our operations and activities. TransMontaigne GP is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of TransMontaigne Inc. TransMontaigne Services Inc. is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of TransMontaigne Inc. TransMontaigne Services Inc. employs the personnel who provide support to TransMontaigne Inc.'s operations, as well as our operations. TransMontaigne Services Inc. adopted a long-term incentive plan for its employees and consultants and the independent directors of our general partner. The long-term incentive plan currently permits the grant of awards covering an aggregate of 1,527,604 units, which amount will automatically increase on an annual basis by 2% of the total outstanding common and subordinated units, if any, at the end of the preceding fiscal year. At March 31, 2011, 1,289,664 units are available for future grant under the long-term incentive plan.

Ownership in the awards is subject to forfeiture until the vesting date, but recipients have distribution and voting rights from the date of grant. Pursuant to the terms of the long-term incentive plan, all restricted phantom units and restricted common units vest upon a change in control of TransMontaigne Inc. The long-term incentive plan is administered by the compensation committee of the board of directors of our general partner. TransMontaigne GP purchases outstanding common units on the open market for purposes of making grants of restricted phantom units to independent directors of our general partner. TransMontaigne GP, on behalf of the long-term incentive plan, anticipates purchasing annually up to 10,000 common units for this purpose. TransMontaigne GP, on behalf of the long-term incentive plan, has purchased 2,520 and 1,875 common units pursuant to the program during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition to the foregoing purchases, on August 10, 2010, we purchased 10,000

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (13) LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (Continued)

common units from TransMontaigne Services Inc. for the purpose of delivering these units to Charles L. Dunlap, the CEO of our general partner, upon the vesting of an equivalent number of restricted phantom units, which were granted to Mr. Dunlap on August 10, 2009 under the long-term incentive plan.

Information about restricted phantom unit activity for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Available for future grant	Restricted phantom units	Grant date price
Units outstanding at December 31, 2009	765,632	56,000	
Automatic increase in units available for future			
grant on January 1, 2010	248,891	_	
Vesting on January 7, 2010	_	(3,500)	
Grant on March 31, 2010	(6,000)	6,000	\$ 27.24
Vesting on March 31, 2010	_	(4,000)	
Vesting on August 10, 2010	_	(10,000)	
Units outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,008,523	44,500	
Automatic increase in units available for future			
grant on January 1, 2011	289,141	_	
Grant on March 31, 2011	(8,000)	8,000	\$ 36.33
Vesting on March 31, 2011	_	(5,500)	
Units outstanding at March 31, 2011	1,289,664	47,000	

On January 7, 2010, we accelerated the vesting of 3,500 restricted phantom units held by Duke R. Ligon as a result of his resignation as a member of the board of directors of our general partner and then repurchased those units for cash. The aggregate consideration paid to the former director of approximately \$98,000 is included in direct general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

On March 31, 2011 and 2010, TransMontaigne Services Inc. granted 8,000 and 6,000 restricted phantom units, respectively, to the independent directors of our general partner. Over their respective four-year vesting periods, we will amortize deferred equity-based compensation of approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, associated with the March 2011 and March 2010 grants, respectively.

Deferred equity-based compensation of approximately \$98,000 and \$91,000 is included in direct general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### (14) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

*Contract Commitments.* At March 31, 2011, we have contractual commitments of approximately \$15.4 million for the supply of services, labor and materials related to capital projects that currently are under development. We expect that these contractual commitments will be paid during the remainder of the year ending December 31, 2011.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (14) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

*Operating Leases.* We lease property and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases that extend through August 2030. At March 31, 2011, future minimum lease payments under these non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

Years ending December 31:	perty and uipment
2011 (remainder of the year)	\$ 1,041
2012	741
2013	637
2014	588
2015	543
Thereafter	 5,385
	\$ 8,935

Rental expense under operating leases was approximately \$290,000 and \$320,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### (15) NET EARNINGS PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT

The following table reconciles net earnings to net earnings allocable to limited partners (in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,			
		2011		2010
Net earnings	\$	11,326	\$	9,474
Less:				
Distributions payable on behalf of incentive distribution rights		(730)		(588)
Distributions payable on behalf of general partner interest		(180)		(177)
Earnings allocable to the general partner interest in excess of				
distributions payable to the general partner interest		(102)		_
Earnings allocable to general partner interest including incentive		-		
distribution rights		(1,012)		(765)
Net earnings allocable to limited partners	\$	10,314	\$	8,709

Earnings allocated to the general partner interest include amounts attributable to the incentive distribution rights. Pursuant to our partnership agreement we are required to distribute available cash (as defined by our partnership agreement) as of the end of the reporting period. Such distributions are declared within 45 days after period end. The net earnings allocated to the general partner interest in the consolidated statements of partners' equity and comprehensive income reflects the earnings allocation included in the table above.

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (15) NET EARNINGS PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT (Continued)

The following table sets forth the distribution declared per common unit attributable to the periods indicated:

	Distribution	
January 1, 2010 through March 31, 2010	\$	0.60
April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010	\$	0.60
July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010	\$	0.60
October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010	\$	0.61
January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011	\$	0.61

The following table reconciles the computation of basic and diluted weighted average units (in thousands):

	Three n ended Ma	
	2011	2010
Basic weighted average units	14,441	14,111
Dilutive effect of restricted phantom units	18	11
Diluted weighted average units	14,459	14,122

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we included the dilutive effect of approximately 8,000, 4,500, 30,000, 3,000, 500 and 1,000 restricted phantom units granted March 31, 2011, March 31, 2010, August 10, 2009, March 31, 2009, July 18, 2008 and March 31, 2008, respectively, in the computation of diluted earnings per limited partner unit because the average closing market price of our common units exceeded the related remaining deferred compensation per unvested restricted phantom units. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we included the dilutive effect of approximately 6,000, 40,000, 4,500 and 1,000 restricted phantom units granted March 31, 2010, August 10, 2009, March 31, 2009 and July 18, 2008, respectively, in the computation of diluted earnings per limited partner unit because the average closing market price of our common units exceeded the related remaining deferred compensation per unvested restricted phantom units.

We exclude potentially dilutive securities from our computation of diluted earnings per limited partner unit when their effect would be anti-dilutive. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we excluded the dilutive effect of 2,000 and 1,000 restricted phantom units granted March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007, respectively, in the computation of diluted earnings per limited partner unit because the related remaining deferred compensation per unvested restricted phantom units exceeded the average closing market price of our common units for the period.

#### (16) DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE

Generally accepted accounting principles defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Generally accepted accounting principles also establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the use of higher-level inputs for valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are: (1) Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; (2) Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for

# Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (16) DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE (Continued)

the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and (3) Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The fair values of the following financial instruments represent our best estimate of the amounts that would be received to sell those assets or that would be paid to transfer those liabilities in an orderly transaction between market participants at that date. Our fair value measurements maximize the use of observable inputs. However, in situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date, the fair value measurement reflects our judgments about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available in the circumstances. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Trade Receivables and Trade Accounts Payable. The carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

*Derivative instrument.* The fair value of our interest rate swap is determined using a pricing model based on the LIBOR swap rate and other observable market data. The fair value was determined after considering the potential impact of collateralization, adjusted to reflect nonperformance risk of both Wells Fargo Bank N.A., the counterparty, and us. Our fair value measurement of our interest rate swap utilizes Level 2 inputs.

*Debt.* The carrying amount of the amended and restated senior secured credit facility, and its predecessor senior secured credit facility, approximates fair value since borrowings under the facilities bear interest at current market interest rates.

#### (17) BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We provide integrated terminaling, storage, transportation and related services to companies engaged in the trading, distribution and marketing of refined petroleum products, crude oil, chemicals, fertilizers and other liquid products. Our chief operating decision maker is our general partner's CEO. Our general partner's CEO reviews the financial performance of our business segments using disaggregated financial information about "net margins" for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. "Net margins" is composed of revenue less direct operating costs and expenses. Accordingly, we present "net margins" for each of our business segments: (i) Gulf Coast terminals, (ii) Midwest terminals and pipeline system, (iii) Brownsville terminals, (iv) River terminals and (v) Southeast terminals.

# Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

# (17) BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

		Three months ended March 31,		
	2011	2010		
Gulf Coast Terminals:				
Terminaling services fees, net	\$ 11,269	\$ 11,786		
Other	2,856	2,351		
Revenue	14,125	14,137		
Direct operating costs and expenses	(4,647)	(4,985)		
Net margins	9,478	9,152		
Midwest Terminals and Pipeline System:				
Terminaling services fees, net	942	924		
Pipeline transportation fees	380	433		
Other	464	494		
Revenue	1,786	1,851		
Direct operating costs and expenses	(472)	(248)		
Net margins	1,314	1,603		
Brownsville Terminals:				
Terminaling services fees, net	4,283	3,467		
Pipeline transportation fees	580	741		
Other	1,923	1,329		
Revenue	6,786	5,537		
Direct operating costs and expenses	(3,425)	(3,024)		
Net margins	3,361	2,513		
River Terminals:				
Terminaling services fees, net	3,138	3,707		
Other	97	80		
Revenue	3,235	3,787		
Direct operating costs and expenses	(1,672)	(1,808)		
Net margins	1,563	1,979		
Southeast Terminals:				
Terminaling services fees, net	10,626	10,196		
Other	2,578	1,646		
Revenue	13,204	11,842		
Direct operating costs and expenses	(4,361)	(4,503)		
Net margins	8,843	7,339		
Total net margins	24,559	22,586		
Direct general and administrative expenses	(1,365)	(1,031)		
Allocated general and administrative expenses	(2,616)	(2,578)		
Allocated insurance expense	(823)	(796)		
Reimbursement of bonus awards	(313)	(313)		
Depreciation and amortization	(7,138)	(6,864)		
Operating income	12,304	11,004		
Other income (expenses), net	(978)	(1,530)		
Net earnings	\$ 11,326	\$ 9,474		

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited) (Continued)

#### (17) BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

Supplemental information about our business segments is summarized below (in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2011											
	Midwest Gulf Coast Terminals and Terminals Pipeline System		Brownsville River Terminals Terminal			Southeast Terminals			Total			
Revenue:												
External customers	\$	3,109	\$	567	\$	5,744	\$	3,183	\$	781	\$	13,384
Morgan Stanley Capital Group		10,563		1,219		_		52		12,409		24,243
TransMontaigne Inc.		453		_		1,042		_		14		1,509
Total revenue	\$	14,125	\$	1,786	\$	6,786	\$	3,235	\$	13,204	\$	39,136
Identifiable assets	\$	148,300	\$	10,187	\$	79,622	\$	60,536	\$	191,679	\$	490,324
Capital expenditures	\$	442	\$	_	\$	853	\$	366	\$	5,730	\$	7,391

	Three months ended March 31, 2010																
		ılf Coast erminals	Midwest Terminals and Pipeline System				st Terminals and		Brownsville Terminals						Southeast Terminals		Total
Revenue:				_													
External customers	\$	2,985	\$	515	\$	4,108	\$	3,264	\$	890	\$ 11,762						
Morgan Stanley Capital Group		9,882		1,336		67		459		10,952	22,696						
TransMontaigne Inc.		1,270		_		1,362		64		_	2,696						
Total revenue	\$	14,137	\$	1,851	\$	5,537	\$	3,787	\$	11,842	\$ 37,154						
Identifiable assets	\$	144,767	\$	10,796	\$	78,216	\$	63,382	\$	182,205	\$ 479,366						
Capital expenditures	\$	1,161	\$		\$	1,196	\$	(158)	\$	1,408	\$ 3,607						

#### (18) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Joint Venture Investment. Effective as of April 1, 2011, we entered into a joint venture with P.M.I. Services North America Inc. (PMI), an indirect subsidiary of Petroleos Mexicanos (Pemex), the Mexican state-owned petroleum company, at our Brownsville, Texas terminal. We contributed approximately 1.5 million barrels of light petroleum product storage capacity, as well as related ancillary facilities, to the joint venture in exchange for a cash payment of approximately \$25 million and a 50% ownership interest. PMI acquired a 50% ownership interest in the joint venture for a cash payment of approximately \$25 million. We are operating the joint venture assets under an operations and reimbursement agreement executed between us and the joint venture. We continue to own and operate approximately 946,000 barrels of tankage in Brownsville independent of the joint venture.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

A summary of the significant accounting policies that we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is detailed in our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 10, 2011 (see Note 1 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). Certain of these accounting policies require the use of estimates. The following estimates, in management's opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment, and involve complex analyses: allowance for doubtful accounts, accrued environmental obligations and determining the fair value of our reporting units when performing our annual goodwill impairment analysis. These estimates are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

#### SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS DURING THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

On January 14, 2011, we announced a distribution of \$0.61 per unit for the period from October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010, payable on February 8, 2011 to unitholders of record on January 31, 2011. The distribution represented a \$0.01 increase over the previous quarter and a 3.4% increase over the \$0.59 per unit distribution declared for the fourth quarter of 2009.

Effective as of March 1, 2011, we acquired from TransMontaigne Inc. its Pensacola, Florida refined petroleum products terminal with approximately 270,000 barrels of aggregate active storage capacity for a cash payment of approximately \$12.8 million. The Pensacola terminal provides integrated terminaling services principally to a third party customer (See Note 3 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

On March 9, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated senior secured credit facility (the "Amended Facility"). The Amended Facility replaced our senior secured credit facility in its entirety and provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$250 million and (ii) 4.75 times Consolidated EBITDA (as defined: \$333.9 million at March 31, 2011). In addition, at our request, the maximum borrowings under the facility can be increased up to an additional \$100 million, in the aggregate, without the approval of the lenders, but subject to the approval of the administrative agent and the receipt of additional commitments from one or more lenders (See Note 11 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

#### SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Effective as of April 1, 2011, we entered into a joint venture with P.M.I. Services North America Inc. (PMI), an indirect subsidiary of Petroleos Mexicanos (Pemex), the Mexican state-owned petroleum company, at our Brownsville, Texas terminal. We contributed approximately 1.5 million barrels of light petroleum product storage capacity, as well as related ancillary facilities, to the joint venture in exchange for a cash payment of approximately \$25 million and a 50% ownership interest. PMI acquired a 50% ownership interest in the joint venture for a cash payment of approximately \$25 million. We are operating the joint venture assets under an operations and reimbursement agreement executed between us and the joint venture. We continue to own and operate approximately 946,000 barrels of tankage in Brownsville independent of the joint venture.

On April 18, 2011, we announced a distribution of \$0.61 per unit for the period from January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011, payable on May 10, 2011 to unitholders of record on April 29, 2011.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS—THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements.

#### ANALYSIS OF REVENUE

*Total Revenue.* We derive revenue from our terminal and pipeline transportation operations by charging fees for providing integrated terminaling, transportation and related services. Our total revenue by category was as follows (in thousands):

#### **Total Revenue by Category**

	Three months ended March 31,	1
	2011 2010	)
Terminaling services fees, net	\$ 30,258 \$ 30,0	080
Pipeline transportation fees	960 1,3	174
Management fees and reimbursed costs	471	540
Other	7,447 5,3	360
Revenue	\$ 39,136 \$ 37,5	154
		_

See discussion below for a detailed analysis of terminaling services fees, net, pipeline transportation fees, management fees and reimbursed costs, and other revenue included in the table above.

We operate our business and report our results of operations in five principal business segments: (i) Gulf Coast terminals, (ii) Midwest terminals and pipeline system, (iii) Brownsville terminals, (iv) River terminals and (v) Southeast terminals. The aggregate revenue of each of our business segments was as follows (in thousands):

#### **Total Revenue by Business Segment**

		Three months ended March 31,		
	2011	2010		
Gulf Coast terminals	\$ 14,125	\$ 14,137		
Midwest terminals and pipeline system	1,786	1,851		
Brownsville terminals	6,786	5,537		
River terminals	3,235	3,787		
Southeast terminals	13,204	11,842		
Revenue	\$ 39,136	\$ 37,154		

Total revenue by business segment is presented and further analyzed below by category of revenue.

**Terminaling Services Fees, Net.** Pursuant to terminaling services agreements with our customers, which range from one month to ten years in duration, we generate fees by distributing and storing products for our customers. Terminaling services fees, net include throughput fees based on the volume of product distributed from the facility, injection fees based on the volume of product injected with

additive compounds and storage fees based on a rate per barrel of storage capacity per month. The terminaling services fees, net by business segments were as follows (in thousands):

#### Terminaling Services Fees, Net, by Business Segment

		Three months ended March 31,		
	2011	2010		
Gulf Coast terminals	\$ 11,269	\$ 11,786		
Midwest terminals and pipeline system	942	924		
Brownsville terminals	4,283	3,467		
River terminals	3,138	3,707		
Southeast terminals	10,626	10,196		
Terminaling services fees, net	\$ 30,258	\$ 30,080		

The increase in terminaling services fees, net includes an increase of approximately \$0.3 million resulting from the completion of ethanol blending functionality at certain of our Southeast terminals and an increase of approximately \$0.4 million resulting from newly constructed truck racks placed into service at our Brownsville terminals. These increases have been partially offset by a decline in terminaling service fees, net of approximately \$0.5 million at certain of our River terminals due to unsubscribed capacity.

Included in terminaling services fees, net for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are fees charged to Morgan Stanley Capital Group of approximately \$18.9 million and \$18.9 million, respectively, and TransMontaigne Inc. of approximately \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

Our terminaling services agreements are structured as either throughput agreements or storage agreements. Certain throughput agreements contain provisions that require our customers to throughput a minimum volume of product at our facilities over a stipulated period of time, which results in a fixed amount of revenue to be recognized by us. Our storage agreements require our customers to make minimum payments based on the volume of storage capacity available to the customer under the agreement, which results in a fixed amount of revenue to be recognized by us. We refer to the fixed amount of revenue recognized pursuant to our terminaling services agreements as being "firm commitments." Revenue recognized in excess of firm commitments and revenue recognized based solely on the volume of product distributed or injected are referred to as "variable." The "firm commitments" and "variable" revenue included in terminaling services fees, net were as follows (in thousands):

#### Firm Commitments and Variable Revenue

		Three months ended March 31,		
		2011		2010
Firm commitments:				
External customers	\$	9,354	\$	8,547
Affiliates		19,793		20,805
Total	_	29,147		29,352
Variable:				
External customers		1,094		836
Affiliates		17		(108)
Total		1,111		728
Terminaling services fees, net	\$	30,258	\$	30,080

#### **Table of Contents**

At March 31, 2011, the remaining terms on the terminaling services agreements that generated "firm commitments" for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	At arch 31, 2011
Remaining terms on terminaling services agreements that generated "firm	
commitments":	
Less than 1 year remaining	\$ 4,828
1 year or more, but less than 3 years remaining	4,571
3 years or more, but less than 5 years remaining	19,347
5 years or more remaining	401
Total firm commitments for the three months ended March 31, 2011	\$ 29,147

*Pipeline Transportation Fees.* We earn pipeline transportation fees at our Razorback pipeline and Diamondback pipeline based on the volume of product transported and the distance from the origin point to the delivery point. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulates the tariff on the Razorback pipeline and the Diamondback pipeline. The pipeline transportation fees by business segments were as follows (in thousands):

#### **Pipeline Transportation Fees by Business Segment**

	Three months ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Gulf Coast terminals	\$ —	\$ —	
Midwest terminals and pipeline system	380	433	
Brownsville terminals	580	741	
River terminals	_	_	
Southeast terminals	_		
Pipeline transportation fees	\$ 960	\$ 1,174	

Included in pipeline transportation fees for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are fees charged to Morgan Stanley Capital Group of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and TransMontaigne Inc. of approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

#### **Table of Contents**

Management Fees and Reimbursed Costs. We manage and operate for a major oil company certain tank capacity at our Port Everglades (South) terminal and receive reimbursement of their proportionate share of operating and maintenance costs. We manage and operate for an affiliate of Mexico's state-owned petroleum company a bi-directional products pipeline connected to our Brownsville, Texas terminal facility and receive a management fee and reimbursement of costs. Prior to April 27, 2010, we also managed and operated for another major oil company two terminals that are adjacent to our Collins and Bainbridge terminals and received a reimbursement of their proportionate share of operating and maintenance costs. On April 27, 2010, we purchased these terminals. The management fees and reimbursed costs by business segments were as follows (in thousands):

#### Management Fees and Reimbursed Costs by Business Segment

		Three months ended March 31,		
	2	2011	2	010
Gulf Coast terminals	\$	17	\$	16
Midwest terminals and pipeline system		_		_
Brownsville terminals		454		442
River terminals		_		_
Southeast terminals		_		82
Management fees and reimbursed costs	\$	471	\$	540

Other Revenue. We provide ancillary services including heating and mixing of stored products, product transfer services, railcar handling, wharfage fees and vapor recovery fees. Pursuant to terminaling services agreements with our throughput customers, we are entitled to the volume of product gained resulting from differences in the measurement of product volumes received and distributed at our terminaling facilities. Consistent with recognized industry practices, measurement differentials occur as the result of the inherent variances in measurement devices and methodology. We recognize as revenue the net proceeds from the sale of the product gained. Other revenue is composed of the following (in thousands):

#### **Principal Components of Other Revenue**

	en	Three months ended March 31,		
	2011	2010		
Product gains	\$ 4,690	\$ 2,886		
Steam heating fees	1,307	1,463		
Product transfer services	437	280		
Railcar handling	172	140		
Other	841	591		
Other revenue	\$ 7,447	\$ 5,360		

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we sold approximately 52,000 and 38,000 barrels, respectively, of product gained resulting from differences in the measurement of product volumes received and distributed at our terminaling facilities at average prices of \$117 and \$90 per barrel, respectively. Pursuant to our terminaling services agreement related to the Southeast terminals, we agreed to rebate to Morgan Stanley Capital Group 50% of the proceeds we receive annually in excess of \$4.2 million from the sale of product gains at our Southeast terminals. For the three months

ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we have accrued a liability due to Morgan Stanley Capital Group of approximately \$1.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Included in other revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are amounts charged to Morgan Stanley Capital Group of approximately \$4.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, and TransMontaigne Inc. of approximately \$nil and \$0.2 million, respectively.

The other revenue by business segments were as follows (in thousands):

### Other Revenue by Business Segment

		Three months ended March 31, 2011 2010		
Gulf Coast terminals	\$ 2	,839	\$	2,335
Midwest terminals and pipeline system		464		494
Brownsville terminals	1	,469		887
River terminals		97		80
Southeast terminals	2	,578		1,564
Other revenue	\$ 7	,447	\$	5,360

### ANALYSIS OF COSTS AND EXPENSES

**Costs and Expenses.** The direct operating costs and expenses of our operations include the directly related wages and employee benefits, utilities, communications, maintenance and repairs, property taxes, rent, vehicle expenses, environmental compliance costs, materials and supplies. Consistent with historical trends, across our terminaling and transportation facilities we anticipate an increase in repairs and maintenance expenses in the later months of the year as the weather becomes more conducive to these types of projects. The direct operating costs and expenses of our operations were as follows (in thousands):

### **Direct Operating Costs and Expenses**

	Three months ended March 31,			
Wages and employee benefits	\$	5,661	\$	5.619
Utilities and communication charges	Ψ	2,329	Ψ	2,279
Repairs and maintenance		3,084		2,972
Office, rentals and property taxes		1,755		1,752
Vehicles and fuel costs		392		373
Environmental compliance costs		859		1,174
Other		497		399
Direct operating costs and expenses	\$	14,577	\$	14,568

The direct operating costs and expenses of our business segments were as follows (in thousands):

### **Direct Operating Costs and Expenses by Business Segment**

		Three months ended March 31,		
	2011 2010			2010
Gulf Coast terminals	\$	4,647	\$	4,985
Midwest terminals and pipeline system		472		248
Brownsville terminals		3,425		3,024
River terminals		1,672		1,808
Southeast terminals		4,361		4,503
Direct operating costs and expenses	\$	14,577	\$	14,568

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include direct general and administrative expenses of our operations primarily for accounting and legal costs associated with annual and quarterly reports and tax return and Schedule K-1 preparation and distribution, independent director fees and deferred equity-based compensation. The direct general and administrative expenses were approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements also include allocated general and administrative charges from TransMontaigne Inc. for allocations of indirect corporate overhead to cover costs of centralized corporate functions such as legal, accounting, treasury, insurance administration and claims processing, health, safety and environmental, information technology, human resources, credit, payroll, taxes, engineering and other corporate services. The allocated general and administrative expenses were approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements also include allocated insurance charges from TransMontaigne Inc. for allocations of insurance premiums to cover costs of insuring activities such as property, casualty, pollution, automobile, directors' and officers' liability, and other insurable risks. The allocated insurance expenses were approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements also include amounts paid to TransMontaigne Services Inc. as a partial reimbursement of bonus awards granted by TransMontaigne Services Inc. to certain key officers and employees that vest over future service periods. The reimbursement of bonus awards was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, depreciation and amortization expense was approximately \$7.1 million and \$6.9 million, respectively.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary liquidity needs are to fund our working capital requirements, distributions to unitholders, approved capital projects and future expansion, development and acquisition opportunities. We believe that we will be able to generate sufficient cash from operations in the future to fund our working capital requirements and our distributions to unitholders. We expect to initially fund our approved capital projects and our future expansion, development and acquisition opportunities with additional borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility, which replaced our existing senior secured credit facility effective March 9, 2011 (See Note 11 of Notes to consolidated

financial statements). After initially funding expenditures for approved capital projects and future expansion, development and acquisition opportunities with borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility, we may raise funds through additional equity offerings and debt financing, which may include the issuance of senior unsecured notes. The proceeds of such equity offerings and debt financings may then be used to reduce our outstanding borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility.

Excluding acquisitions and investments, our capital expenditures for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were approximately \$7.4 million for terminal and pipeline facilities and assets to support these facilities. Management and the board of directors of our general partner have approved expansion capital projects that currently are or will be under construction with estimated completion dates that extend through August 31, 2011. At March 31, 2011, the remaining capital expenditures to complete the approved expansion capital projects are estimated to range from \$6 million to \$9 million. We expect to fund our expansion capital expenditures with additional borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility. The budgeted expansion capital projects include the following:

<u>Terminal</u>	Description of project	Incremental storage capacity (in Bbls)	Expected completion
Southeast	Ethanol blending functionality		2 <sup>nd</sup> half 2011
Collins/Purvis	Increase light oil tank capacity	700,000	2 <sup>nd</sup> half 2011

Effective as of March 1, 2011, we acquired from TransMontaigne Inc. its Pensacola, Florida refined petroleum products terminal with approximately 270,000 barrels of aggregate active storage capacity for a cash payment of approximately \$12.8 million (See Note 3 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). We funded the Pensacola terminal purchase with additional borrowings under our senior secured credit facility.

Effective as of April 1, 2011, we entered into a joint venture with P.M.I. Services North America Inc., an indirect subsidiary of Petroleos Mexicanos (Pemex), the Mexican state-owned petroleum company, at our Brownsville, Texas terminal. We contributed approximately 1.5 million barrels of light petroleum product storage capacity, as well as related ancillary facilities, to the joint venture in exchange for a cash payment of approximately \$25 million and a 50% ownership interest. We used the \$25 million in cash proceeds to pay down outstanding borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility (See Note 18 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

We are currently exploring various acquisition, development, and joint venture opportunities some of which are substantial in size. We may rely on future equity offerings and debt financings, in addition to our amended and restated senior secured credit facility, to fund these opportunities.

Our amended and restated senior secured credit facility that became effective March 9, 2011 provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit equal to \$250 million. At March 31, 2011, our outstanding borrowings were \$140 million. In addition, at our request, the maximum borrowings under the facility can be increased up to an additional \$100 million, in the aggregate, without the approval of the lenders, but subject to the approval of the administrative agent and the receipt of additional commitments from one or more lenders. Future expansion, development and acquisition expenditures will depend on numerous factors, including the availability, economics and cost of appropriate acquisitions which we identify and evaluate; the economics, cost and required regulatory approvals with respect to the expansion and enhancement of existing systems and facilities; customer demand for the services we provide; local, state and federal governmental regulations; environmental compliance requirements; and the availability of debt financing and equity capital on acceptable terms.

Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Facility. On March 9, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated senior secured credit facility (the "Amended Facility"). The Amended Facility replaced in its entirety the senior secured credit facility that was in place as of December 31, 2010. The Amended Facility provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$250 million and (ii) 4.75 times Consolidated EBITDA (as defined: \$333.9 million at March 31, 2011). In addition, at our request, the revolving loan commitment can be increased up to an additional \$100 million, in the aggregate, without the approval of the lenders, but subject to the approval of the administrative agent and the receipt of additional commitments from one or more lenders. We may elect to have loans under the Amended Facility bear interest either (i) at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 2% to 3% depending on the total leverage ratio then in effect, or (ii) at the base rate plus a margin ranging from 1% to 2% depending on the total leverage ratio then in effect. We also pay a commitment fee on the unused amount of commitments, ranging from 0.375% to 0.5% per annum, depending on the total leverage ratio then in effect. Our obligations under the Amended Facility are secured by a first priority security interest in favor of the lenders in the majority of our assets.

The terms of the Amended Facility include covenants that restrict our ability to make cash distributions, acquisitions and investments, including investments in joint ventures. We may make distributions of cash to the extent of our "available cash" as defined in our partnership agreement. We may make acquisitions and investments that meet the definition of "permitted acquisitions"; "other investments" which may not exceed 5% of "consolidated net tangible assets"; and "permitted JV investments" which may not exceed \$125 million in the aggregate and subject to us having at least \$50 million in "liquidity" before and after giving effect to such joint venture investment. The principal balance of loans and any accrued and unpaid interest are due and payable in full on the maturity date, March 9, 2016.

The Amended Facility also contains customary representations and warranties (including those relating to organization and authorization, compliance with laws, absence of defaults, material agreements and litigation) and customary events of default (including those relating to monetary defaults, covenant defaults, cross defaults and bankruptcy events). The primary financial covenants contained in the Amended Facility are (i) a total leverage ratio test (not to exceed 4.75 times), (ii) a senior secured leverage ratio test (not to exceed 3.75 times) in the event we issue senior unsecured notes, and (iii) a minimum interest coverage ratio test (not less than 3.0 times). These financial covenants are based on a defined financial performance measure within the Amended Facility known as

"Consolidated EBITDA." The calculation of the "total leverage ratio" and "interest coverage ratio" contained in the Amended Facility is as follows (in thousands, except ratios):

		Three months ended					Twelve months ended			
		June 30, September 30, December 31, March 31, 2010 2010 2011		,	March 31, 2011					
Financial performance debt covenant test:										
Consolidated EBITDA for the total leverage ratio, as										
stipulated in the credit facility	\$	18,096	\$	18,470	\$	14,160	\$	19,568	\$	70,294
Consolidated funded indebtedness									\$	140,000
Total leverage ratio										1.99x
Consolidated EBITDA for the interest coverage ratio	\$	18,096	\$	18,470	\$	14,160	\$	19,568	\$	70,294
Consolidated interest expense, as stipulated in the										
credit facility	\$	1,229	\$	1,188	\$	1,145	\$	1,199	\$	4,761
Interest coverage ratio										14.76x
Reconciliation of consolidated EBITDA to cash										
flows provided by operating activities:										
Consolidated EBITDA	\$	18,096	\$	18,470	\$	14,160	\$	19,568	\$	70,294
Consolidated interest expense		(1,229)		(1,188)		(1,145)		(1,199)		(4,761)
Amortization of deferred revenue		(955)		(986)		(1,039)		(1,104)		(4,084)
Amounts due under long-term terminaling services										
agreements, net		(356)		292		414		(108)		242
Change in operating assets and liabilities		4,776		(871)		5,749		(2,432)		7,222
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$	20,332	\$	15,717	\$	18,139	\$	14,725	\$	68,913

If we were to fail either financial performance covenant, or any other covenant contained in the Amended Facility, we would seek a waiver from our lenders under such facility. If we were unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders and the default remained uncured after any applicable grace period, we would be in breach of the Amended Facility, and the lenders would be entitled to declare all outstanding borrowings immediately due and payable.

We believe that our future cash expected to be provided by operating activities, available borrowing capacity under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility, and our relationship with institutional lenders and equity investors should enable us to meet our committed capital and our essential liquidity requirements through at least the maturity date of our amended and restated senior secured credit facility (March 2016).

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in this Item 3 updates, and should be read in conjunction with, information set forth in Part II, Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, in addition to the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements, accompanying notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations presented in Part 1, Items 1 and 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. There are no material changes in the market risks faced by us from those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. The principal market risk to which we are exposed is interest rate risk associated with borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility. Borrowings under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility bear interest at a variable rate based on LIBOR or the lender's base rate. At March 31, 2011, we had outstanding borrowings of approximately \$140 million under our amended and restated senior secured credit facility.

We manage a portion of our interest rate risk with an interest rate swap, which reduces our exposure to changes in interest rates by converting variable interest rates to fixed interest rates. At March 31, 2011, we are party to an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A with a notional amount of \$150.0 million that expires June 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed rate of approximately 2.2% and receive an interest payment based on the one-month LIBOR. The net difference to be paid or received under the interest rate swap agreement is settled monthly and is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense.

Based on the outstanding balance of our variable-interest-rate debt at March 31, 2011, the terms of our current interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$150.0 million, and assuming market interest rates increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the potential annual increase or decrease in interest expense is approximately \$nil.

We do not purchase or market products that we handle or transport and, therefore, we do not have material direct exposure to changes in commodity prices, except for the value of product gains arising from certain of our terminaling services agreements with our customers. Pursuant to our terminaling services agreement related to the Southeast terminals, we agreed to rebate to Morgan Stanley Capital Group 50% of the proceeds we receive annually in excess of \$4.2 million from the sale of product gains at our Southeast terminals. We do not use derivative commodity instruments to manage the commodity risk associated with the product we may own at any given time. Generally, to the extent we are entitled to retain product pursuant to terminaling services agreements with our customers, we sell the product to Morgan Stanley Capital Group and other marketing and distribution companies on a monthly basis; the sales price is based on industry indices.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we sold approximately 52,000 and 38,000 barrels, respectively, of product gained resulting from differences in the measurement of product volumes received and distributed at our terminaling facilities at average prices of \$117 and \$90 per barrel, respectively.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Commission's rules and forms, and that information is accumulated and communicated to the management of our general partner, including our general partner's principal executive and principal financial officer (whom we refer to as the Certifying Officers), as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The management of our general partner evaluated, with the participation of the Certifying Officers, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2011, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Certifying Officers concluded that, as of March 31, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Part II. Other Information

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors, discussed in more detail in "Item 1A. Risk Factors," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on March 10, 2011, which risk factors are expressly incorporated into this report by reference, are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations and may adversely affect our business and results of operations, include, but are not limited to:

- a reduction in revenue from any of our significant customers upon which we rely for a substantial majority of our revenue;
- failure by any of our significant customers to continue to engage us to provide services after the expiration of existing terminaling services agreements, or our failure to secure comparable alternative arrangements;
- our ability to generate sufficient cash from operations to enable us to maintain or grow the amount of the quarterly distribution to our unitholders;
- our debt levels and restrictions in our debt agreements that may limit our operational flexibility;
- a lack of access to new capital would impair our ability to expand our operations;
- the impact of Morgan Stanley's status as a bank holding company on its ability to conduct certain nonbanking activities or retain certain investments, including control of our general partner;
- a decrease in demand for products due to high prices, alternative fuel sources, new technologies or adverse economic conditions;
- the availability of acquisition opportunities and successful integration and future performance of acquired facilities;
- competition from other terminals and pipelines that may be able to supply our significant customers with terminaling services on a more competitive basis;
- the continued creditworthiness of, and performance by, our significant customers;
- the ability of our significant customers to secure financing arrangements adequate to purchase their desired volume of product;
- the impact on our facilities or operations of extreme weather conditions, such as hurricanes, and other events, such as terrorist attacks or war and costs associated with environmental compliance and remediation;
- we may have to refinance our existing debt in unfavorable market conditions;
- timing, cost and other economic uncertainties related to the construction of new tank capacity or facilities;
- the impact of current and future laws and governmental regulations, general economic, market or business conditions;
- the failure of our existing and future insurance policies to fully cover all risks incident to our business;
- the age and condition of many of our pipeline and storage assets may result in increased maintenance and remediation expenditures;

- conflicts of interest and the limited fiduciary duties of our general partner, which is indirectly controlled by Morgan Stanley Capital Group;
- cost reimbursements, which are determined by our general partner, and fees paid to our general partner and its affiliates for services will continue to be substantial:
- the control of our general partner being transferred to a third party without unitholder consent;
- our general partners limited call right may require unitholders to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price;
- the possibility that our unitholders could be held liable under some circumstances for our obligations to the same extent as a general partner;
- our ability to issue additional units without your approval would dilute your existing ownership interest;
- our failure to avoid federal income taxation as a corporation or the imposition of state level taxation;
- the impact of new IRS regulations or a challenge of our current allocation of income, gain, loss and deductions among our unitholders or our use of a calendar year end for federal income tax purposes; and
- the sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests within a 12-month period would result in a deemed termination of our partnership for income tax purposes.

There have been no material changes from risk factors as previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on March 10, 2011.

### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

*Purchases of Securities.* The following table covers the purchases of our common units by, or on behalf of, Partners during the three months ended March 31, 2011 covered by this report.

<u>Period</u>	Total number of common units purchased	Average price paid per common unit		paid per		Total number of common units purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of common units that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs	
January	840	\$	36.77	840	9,160			
February	840	\$	38.10	840	8,320			
March	840	\$	40.36	840	7,480			
	2,520	\$	38.41	2,520				

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we purchased 2,520 common units (840 common units per month), with approximately \$97,000 of aggregate market value, in the open market pursuant to a purchase program announced on May 7, 2007. The purchase program establishes the purchase, from time to time, of our outstanding common units for purposes of making subsequent grants of restricted phantom units under the TransMontaigne Services Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan to independent directors of our general partner. Pursuant to the terms of the purchase program, we anticipate purchasing annually up to 10,000 common units. There is no guarantee as to the exact number of common units that will be purchased under the purchase program, and the purchase program may be discontinued at any time. Unless we choose to terminate the purchase program earlier, the purchase program terminates on the earlier to occur of May 31, 2012; our liquidation, dissolution, bankruptcy or insolvency; the public announcement of a tender or exchange offer for the common

units; or a merger, acquisition, recapitalization, business combination or other occurrence of a "Change of Control" under the TransMontaigne Services Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan.

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

### Exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 9, 2011

TRANSMONTAIGNE PARTNERS L.P.
(Registrant)

TransMontaigne GP L.L.C., its General Partner

By: /s/ CHARLES L. DUNLAP

Charles L. Dunlap
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ FREDERICK W. BOUTIN

Frederick W. Boutin
Chief Financial Officer

46

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit number	Description of exhibits
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	47

### Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Charles L. Dunlap, Chief Executive Officer of TransMontaigne GP L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company and general partner of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. (the "Company"), certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 9, 2011

/s/ CHARLES L. DUNLAP

Charles L. Dunlap
Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.1

Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

### Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Frederick W. Boutin, Chief Financial Officer of TransMontaigne GP L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company and general partner of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. (the "Company"), certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 9, 2011

/s/ FREDERICK W. BOUTIN

Frederick W. Boutin
Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 31.2

Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.1

### Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer of TransMontaigne GP L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company and general partner of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. (the "Company"), hereby certifies that, to his knowledge on the date hereof:

- (a) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011, filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (b) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ CHARLES L. DUNLAP

Charles L. Dunlap Chief Executive Officer May 9, 2011

Exhibit 32.1

Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).

Exhibit 32.2

### Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)

The undersigned, the Chief Financial Officer of TransMontaigne GP L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company and general partner of TransMontaigne Partners L.P. (the "Company"), hereby certifies that, to his knowledge on the date hereof:

- (a) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011, filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (b) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ FREDERICK W. BOUTIN

Frederick W. Boutin Chief Financial Officer May 9, 2011

Exhibit 32.2

Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)